

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,770	06/27/2003	Paul E. Amundsen	0100.2061-004	8144
21005	7590 02/15/2005	EXAMINER		
HAMILTON 530 VIRGINIA	, BROOK, SMITH & A ROAD	DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
			3744	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/608,770	AMUNDSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		William C Doerrler	3744	•			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFF	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
2) Notice (3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 8-6-04.11-12-04.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/608,770

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamartino et al in view of either Bachler et al (4,757,689) or Hafner et al (5,400,604). Yamartino et al disclose in column 7 lines 8-32 that a "safety inhibit" is invoked when the cryopump reaches below a specific temperature (18K). When the safety inhibit has been set and the temperature reaches a higher limit (34K in column 8 line 31) a gate valve is closed and purge gas is admitted. The "safety inhibit" is seen as performing the same function in the same manner as the "identifier" in applicants' claims. The paragraph beginning in line 51 of column 7 discloses opening the purge valve without a

Application/Control Number: 10/608,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

full regeneration (the full regeneration option is discussed in the following paragraph). In line 49 of column 5, Yamartino et al state that exhaust valve 27 is opened when purge valve 29 is open to allow the purge gas to escape. Yamartino et al do not explicitly disclose the opening of a purge valve coupled to an exhaust line in relation to the temperature of the cryopump. Bachler et al and Hafner et al show valves on exhaust lines which open in response to a rise of temperature to be old in the art (Bachler et al's valve 16 (col 6 line 25) and Hafner et al's valve 44 (col 6 line 62-col 7 line 2)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of either Bachler et al or Hafner et al to modify the cryopump of Yamartino et al by controlling a valve which is in an exhaust line of the pump to improve control over the exhausting of the vapors during regeneration and emergency periods.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Hafner et al and Bachler et al explicity state that exhaust valves may be controlled in relation to the temperature of the cryopump. This is combination with Yamartino et al's statement that the exhaust valve 27 is opened when purge valve 29 is opened (with valve 29 being controlled by the temperature of the cryopump) is seen to render applicants' current claims obvious.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,770 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD